

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO first 1450
Abstantin, Vergina 2211-1450
www.usipio.gev

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,207	10/16/2001	Mikio Totani	010811	6184
23850	7590 07/08/2003			
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			FULLER, RODNEY EVAN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2851	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/857,207	TOTANI, MIKIO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rodney E Fuller	2851			
P riod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	his action is non-final.				
3) 🗌 Disposition	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
٦ ⊠(9	The specification is objected to by the Examir	er.				
10)⊠ Т	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2001</u> is/ar	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ obje	ected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` ·			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	• •				
• • • •	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
•	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•				
	The translation of the foreign language p.cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •				
Attachment	(s)					
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tra		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 063003			

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37
 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Drawings

2. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the current drawings arc informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: (claim 8, line 2) the word "slanding" appears to be a typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2851

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Totani (US 5,807,222) in view of Basu, et al. (US 3,947,117).

Totani (US 5,807,222) discloses all the structure set forth in the claims except for a "...cover plate disposed between said optical sensor and said plastic film means, said cover plate including small hole or narrow slit means through which light passes, said light source means emitting light which reflects from or permeates through said plastic film means and then passes through small hole or narrow slit means to be directed to said optical sensor." Totani, however, does discloses a "...light source means (Fig. 1, ref.# 7) opposed to said plastic film means (Fig. 1, ref.# 1); an optical sensor (Fig. 1, ref.# 8) opposed to said plastic film means;" wherein "said optical sensor image recognizing the reflecting or permeating light and reading out the minutely rugged surface of heat sealed portion to detect the heat sealed place of plastic film means in accordance with a variation of image (abstract, lines 9-12)." However, utilizing a cover plate in conjunction with a light source and optical sensor, wherein the "...cover plate disposed between said optical sensor and said plastic film means, said cover plate including small hole or narrow slit means through which light passes, said light source means emitting light which reflects from or permeates through said plastic film means and then passes through small hole or narrow slit means to be directed to said optical sensor," is routine in the art as is evident from the teach of Basu. Thus, it would it have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Totani by including a "...cover plate disposed between said optical sensor and said plastic film means, said cover plate including small hole or narrow slit means through which light passes, said light source means emitting light which reflects from or permeates through said plastic film means and then passes through small hole or narrow slit means to be directed to said optical sensor."

Art Unit: 2851

The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Totani in the manner described above to restrict the image field and thus preserve image quality as described by Basu in column 3, lines 19-21, and/or to prevent stray light which would increase the signal-to-noise ratio.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Bauknecht (US 5,695,106), Obara, et al. (US 6,106,448), Antonissen, et al. (US 5,136,906), Bridgeman (US 4,500,307) and Blitchington (US 4,205,769) each disclose an apparatus that may be used for detecting heat scaled places of plastic film means which is fed in a direction.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney Fuller whose telephone number is (703) 306-5641. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams, can be reached on (703) 308-2847.

Rodney Fuller

Primary Examiner

July 2, 2003